

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,359	11/13/2003	Yie-Hwa Chang	48483-103186	1306
7590 07/09/2008 Kathryn J. Doty			EXAMINER	
Polsinelli Shalton Welte Suelthaus PC			HIRIYANNA, KELAGINAMANE T	
Suite 1100 100 S. Fourth	Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Louis, MO 63102			1633	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/712 359 CHANG ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit KELAGINAMANE T. HIRIYANNA -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 9-18 and 21-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-18 and 21-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/712,359

Art Unit: 1633

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/24/2008 has been entered.

Applicant's response filed on 04/24/2008 in response to office action mailed on 09/07/2007 has been acknowledged.

Claims 9 and 25 are amended.

Claims 9-18 and 21-38 are pending and are examined in this office action.

Applicants are required to follow Amendment Practice under revised 37 CFR §1.121. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The references cited herein are of record in a prior Office action. Applicants' arguments in the response of 04/24/2008 are fully considered while writing this action.

**Withdrawn**: The rejection of claims 9-18 and 21-36 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (scope of enablement) as set forth in the previous office action mailed on 09/07/2007 is hereby withdrawn in view of Applicants amendments to the base claims 9 and 25 to be commensurate with the indicated scope to an <u>"in vitro"</u> contacting of the cells with an variant of Met AP2.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter

Application/Control Number: 10/712,359

Art Unit: 1633

as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-18 and 21-38 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Klinkenberg et al (1997, Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 347:193-200; art of record) in view of Griffith et al., (1998, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95:15183-15188; art of record) and Fang et al., (Patent No.: US 6,110,744; art of record).

The above claims are drawn to a method of modulating cell proliferation comprising contacting a cell with a polynucleotide variant encoding a dominant negative MetAp2 activity and comprises a translation domain and in further limitation cell is an endothelial cell, polynucleotide is a part of a vector, an adenovirus vector, a CMV promoter, a specific said polynucleotide sequence.

Regarding claims 9-18 and 21-38 Klinkenberg teaches a method of decreasing eukaryotic cell proliferation in vitro using a dominant negative mutant of eukaryotic (yeast) MetAp1 that lacks the catalytic aminopeptidase activity and interferes dominant negatively with the activity of wild type MetAP1 and MetAp2 and causes slow growth of the cells (entire article; abstract). Klinkenberg further teaches that the observed mutant protein dominant negative activity is due to a competition for binding to a cellular partner and that partner is shared by both MetAP1 and MetAP2 and thus MetAP2 functionally interacts with MetAP1 (P.199, col.1, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Klinkenber further suggests making further mutational studies regarding this interaction with both the MetAPs. Klinkenberg however, does not teach how to make a MetAp2 mutant that lacks activity.

Regarding limitation of making MetAP2 mutant that lacks activity Griffith teaches making and using site-directed mutant of human MetAp2 wherein an amino acid at position 231 is changed (H231N) and found to lose fumagillin binding activity (Abstract, p.15183). Griffith teaches the expression of wild type and said mutant gene (polynucleotide) in endothelial cells (p.15184, col.1, 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph). Griffith further teaches that the fact that mutation of His231 (implying any mutation in His231= A231) results in dramatic loss of activity suggests that this residue plays an important role in catalysis by MetAp2 and is this inhibition of MetAp2 enzymatic activity that appears to serve as the molecular basis of inhibition of endothelial cell proliferation in this class of

Application/Control Number: 10/712,359

Art Unit: 1633

inhibitors (p.15186, col.1, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph bridging col.2). Regarding claims 15-16 and 18 of claimed sequences essentially (read as comprising) of identified SEQ ID NO:9 (wild type human) and its variant SEQ ID NO:6 (A231 mutant) and the inherent translation domain are thus taught by Griffith. Griffith however, does not teach a vector containing a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide is operably linked to a CMV-promoter and does not teach that the vector is adenovirus vector.

Fang teaches an adenovirus vector (see col.13-15) comprising a heterologous gene and a promoter which is a CMV-promoter (col.23, 1st paragraph).

Thus it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the MetAp1 mutant gene producing an catalytically inactive enzyme as taught by Klinkeberg with a MetAp2 mutant gene producing an catalytically inactive enzyme as taught by Griffith and dominant negatively inhibit the cell proliferation in vitro. Further One of skill in the art could a modify the MetAp2 expression vector of Griffith by using an adenovirus vector containing a CMV promoter as taught by Fang and use it for gene transfer and expression of mutant MetAp2 in endothelial cells. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make and use said mutant MetAp2 constructs in order to inhibit the cell proliferation. One of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonable expectation of success making using an inactive MetAp2 mutant because the art teaches that it is routine to make and express inactive MetAp2 mutant gene constructs in a cell in vitro and art further teaches that an inactive MetAp mutant competes with both the wild type MetAps and inhibit cell proliferation. Thus, the claimed invention was prima facie obvious.

#### Conclusion:

No claim allowed

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner *Kelaginamane Hinyanna Ph.D.*, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3307. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9 AM-5PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Joseph Woltach Ph.D.*, may be reached at (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an

Application/Control Number: 10/712,359 Page 5

Art Unit: 1633

application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). When calling please have your application serial number or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO call center (UCC) at (800) 786-9199.

Kelaginamane T. Hiriyanna
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1633

/Robert M Kelly/

Examiner of Art Unit 1633

Art Unit: 1633

Application Number

Application/Control No.

Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination

10/712,359

CHANG ET AL.

Examiner

Art Unit

KELAGINAMANE T.

HIRIYANNA